

## Correction to “Reactivity of Metallic Nitride Endohedral Metallofullerene Anions: Electrochemical Synthesis of a $\text{Lu}_3\text{N}@I_h\text{-C}_{80}$ Derivative”

Fang-Fang Li, Antonio Rodríguez-Fortea, Josep M. Poblet,\* and Luis Echegoyen\*

*J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 2760–2765. DOI: 10.1021/ja110160j

Page 2762, Figure 4. In the caption, the absorption spectra of  $\text{Lu}_3\text{N}@I_h\text{-C}_{80}$  and [methano-[6,6]- $\text{Lu}_3\text{N}@I_h\text{-C}_{80}$ ]fullerene were mistakenly assigned as black and red, respectively. The colors should be reversed, and the caption should read as follows: “**Figure 4.** Absorption spectra of  $\text{Lu}_3\text{N}@I_h\text{-C}_{80}$  (red) and [methano-[6,6]- $\text{Lu}_3\text{N}@I_h\text{-C}_{80}$ ]fullerene (black).”

In the description of the UV–vis–NIR spectroscopy in the paragraph in which Figure 4 is discussed, the maximum absorptions of pristine  $\text{Lu}_3\text{N}@I_h\text{-C}_{80}$  (402 nm) and derivative 1 (404 nm) were inadvertently placed in the wrong order. The sentence should read as follows: “Pristine  $\text{Lu}_3\text{N}@I_h\text{-C}_{80}$  and derivative 1 display a maximum absorption at 404 and 402 nm and a weak absorption centered at 674 and 684 nm, respectively, as shown in Figure 4.”